arXiv:1512.08158v1 [math.DG] 27 Dec 2015

Monotonicity of eigenvalues of geometric operaters along the Ricci-Bourguignon flow*

Fanqi Zeng, Qun He, Bin Chen †

Abstract. In this paper, we study monotonicity of eigenvalues of Laplacian-type operator $-\Delta + cR$, where c is a constant, along the Ricci-Bourguignon flow. For $c \neq 0$, We derive monotonicity of the lowest eigenvalue of Laplacian-type operator $-\Delta + cR$ which generalizes some results of Cao [5]. For $c = 0$, We derive monotonicity of the first eigenvalue of Laplacian which generalizes some results of Ma [18]. Moreover, we prove that when (M_3, g_0) is a closed three manifold with positive Ricci curvature, the eigenvalue of the Laplacian diverges as $t \to T$ on a limited maximal time in terval $[0, T)$, which generalizes some results of Cerbo and Fabrizio [4].
Keywords: Eigenvalue, Laplacian, Monotonicity, Ricci-Bourguignon flow.
Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 53C21, Secondary 53C44

1 Introduction

Given an n-dimensional closed Riemannian manifold (M, g), the metric $g = g(t)$ is evolving according to the flow equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = -2Ric + 2\rho Rg = -2(Ric - \rho Rg), \tag{1.1}$$

with the initial condition

$$g(0) = g_0,$$

where Ric is the Ricci tensor of the manifold, R is scalar curvature and ρ is a real constant. When $\rho = \frac{1}{2}$, $\rho = \frac{1}{n}$, $\rho = \frac{1}{2(n-1)}$ and $\rho = 0$, the tensor $Ric - \rho Rg$ corresponds to the Einstein tensor, the traceless Ricci tensor, the Schouten tensor and the Ricci tensor respectively. Apart these special values of ρ, for which we will call the associated flows as the name of the corresponding tensor, in general we will refer to the evolution equation defined by the PDE system (1.1) as the Ricci-Bourguignon flow. Moreover, by a suitable rescaling in time, when ρ is nonpositive, they can be seen as an interpolation between the Ricci flow and the Yamabe flow (see [3], [22], for instance), obtained as a limit when $\rho \to -\infty$.

The study of these flows was proposed by Jean-Pierre Bourguignon (see Question 3.24 in [2]), building on some unpublished work of Lichnerowicz in the sixties and a paper of Aubin [1]. In 2003, Fischer [9] studied a conformal version of this problem where the

*The research of authors is supported by NNSFC(no.11471246) and NSFHE(no.KJ2014A257).
†The corresponding author's email: Chenbin@tongji.edu.cn (B. Chen)

scalar curvature is constrained along the flow. In 2011, Lu, Qing and Zheng [16] also proved some results on the conformal Ricci-Bourguignon flow. Recently, for suitable values of the scalar parameter involved in these flows, Catino et al. [7] proved short time existence and provided curvature estimates and stated some results on the associated solitons. Precisely, we give some useful conclusions about the Ricci-Bourguignon flow which will be used later.

Proposition 1.1. ([7]) *Under the Ricci-Bourguignon flow equation* (1.1)*, we have*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g^{ij} = 2(R^{ij} - \rho R g^{ij}), \tag{1.2}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\,dv) = (n\rho - 1)R\,dv, \tag{1.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\Gamma_{ij}^k) = &- R_{ik,j} - R_{kj,i} + R_{ij,k} \\ &+ \rho(\delta_k^i R_{,j} + \delta_j^k R_{,i} - g_{ij}R_{,k}),\end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}R = [1 - 2(n-1)\rho]\Delta R + 2|Ric|^2 - 2\rho R^2. \tag{1.5}$$

Proposition 1.2. ([7]) *(short time existence) Let $\rho < \frac{1}{2(n-1)}$. Then, the evolution equation* (1.1) *has a unique solution for a positive time interval on any smooth, n-dimensional, compact Riemannian manifold M (without boundary) with any initial metric g_0.*

Proposition 1.3. ([7]) *(preserved curvature conditions) Let $(M, g_t)_{t\in[0,T)}$ be a compact maximal solution of the Ricci-Bourguignon flow* (1.1)*. If $\rho \leq \frac{1}{2(n-1)}$, the minimum of the scalar curvature is nondecreasing along the flow. In particular, if $R(g_0) \geq \alpha$, for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then $R(g_t) \geq \alpha$ for every $t \in [0, T)$. Morever, if $\alpha > 0$ then $T \leq \frac{n}{2(1-n\rho)\alpha}$.*

Proposition 1.4. ([7]) *(conditions preserved in 3-dimensional.) Let $(M, g_t)_{t\in[0,T)}$ be a compact, 3-dimensional, solution of the Ricci-Bourguignon flow* (1.1)*. If $\rho < 1/4$, then*
(1)nonnegative Ricci curvature is preserved along the flow;
(2)the pinching inequality $Ric \geq \varepsilon Rg$ is preserved along the flow for any $\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{3}$.

Proposition 1.5. ([7]) *Let $(M, g_t)_{t\in[0,T)}$ be a compact solution of the Ricci-Bourguignon flow* (1.1) *with $\rho \leq \frac{1}{2(n-1)}$, and such that the initial data g_0 has nonnegative curvature operator. Then $\widetilde{R}_{g(t)} \geq 0$ for every $t \in [0, T)$, where $\widetilde{R} \in End(\bigwedge^2 M)$ be the Riemann curvature operator.*

Proposition 1.6. ([7]) $\rho < \frac{1}{2(n-1)}$. *If $g(t)$ is a compact solution of the Ricci-Bourguignon flow on a maximal time interval $[0, T)$, $T < +\infty$, then*

$$\limsup_{t\to T}\max_M |Riem(\cdot, t)| = +\infty,$$

where $Riem(\cdot, t)$ is Riemann tensor.

At present, the eigenvalues of geometric operators have become a powerful tool in the study of geometry and topology of manifolds. Recently, there has been a lot of work on the eigenvalue problems under the Ricci flow. On one hand, in [19] , Perelman introduced the so-called $\mathscr{F}$-entropy functional and proved that it is nondecreasing along the Ricci flow coupled to a backward heat-type equation. The nondecreasing of the functional $\mathscr{F}$ implies the monotonicity of the first eigenvalue of $-4\Delta + R$ along the Ricci flow.

Cao [5] extended the operator

$$-4\Delta + R,$$

to the following new operator

$$-\Delta + \frac{R}{2}$$

on closed Riemannian manifolds, and showed that the eigenvalues of this new operator are nondecreasing along the Ricci flow with nonnegative curvature operator. Later Li [13] and Cao [6] considered a general operator

$$-\Delta + cR, \quad where \quad c \geq \frac{1}{4},$$

and both proved that the first eigenvalue of this operator is nondecreasing along the Ricci flow without any curvature assumption. For the recent research in this direction, see [10, 14, 15, 23, 24] and the references therein.

On the other hand, Ma [18] obtained the monotonicity of the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian operator on a domain with Dirichlet boundary condition along the Ricci flow. Using the differentiability of the eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator under the Ricci flow, he obtained the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *([18]) Let $g = g(t)$ be the evolving metric along the Ricci-Hamilton flow with $g(0) = g_0$ being the initial metric in M. Let D be a smooth bounded domain in (M, g_0). Let $\mu > 0$ be the first eigenvalue of the Laplace operator of the metric $g(t)$. If there is a constant a such that the scalar curvature $R \geq 2a$ in $D \times \{t\}$ and the Einstein tensor*

$$E_{ij} \geq -ag_{ij}, \quad in \quad D \times \{t\},$$

where $E_{ij} := R_{ij} - \frac{R}{2}g_{ij}$, then we have $\frac{d}{dt}\mu \geq 0$, that is, μ is nondecreasing in t; furthermore, $\frac{d}{dt}\mu(t) > 0$ for the scalar curvature R not being the constant $2a$. The same monotonicity result is also true for other eigenvalues.

Motivated by the work of Perelman, Cao and Ma, we shall consider the eigenvalue of $-\Delta + cR$ with c a constant. For $c \neq 0$, inspired by [5] and [6], we can derive the following monotonicity of the lowest eigenvalue of $-\Delta + cR$ under the Ricci-Bourguignon flow equation (1.1). That is, we obtain the

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(M, g(t))_{t\in[0,T)}$ be a compact maximal solution of the Ricci-Bourguignon flow (1.1), $g(t) \not\equiv g(0)$ and $\lambda_0(t)$ be the lowest eigenvalue of the operator $-\Delta + cR$ corresponding to the normalized eigenfunction f, that is,*

$$(-\Delta + cR)f = \lambda_0 f, \qquad \int_M f^2 \, dv = 1.$$

(1) If $\rho \leq 0$, $c \geq \frac{[1-\rho(n-1)]^2}{4-8\rho(n-1)}$ and the scalar curvature is nonnegative at the initial time, then the lowest eigenvalue of the operator $-\Delta + cR$ is is strictly monotone increasing in $[0, T)$ under the Ricci-Bourguignon flow equation (1.1).

(2) If $0 < \rho \leq \frac{1}{2(n-1)}$, $c \geq \frac{1-(n-2)\rho}{2[1-2(n-1)\rho]}$ and the curvature operator is nonnegative at the initial time, then the following quantity

$$\left(T^{'} - t\right)^{-\alpha} \lambda_0(t), \tag{1.6}$$

is strictly monotone increasing under the Ricci-Bourguignon flow equation (1.1) *in* $[0, T^{'})$ *and* $T^{'} = \frac{1}{2(1-\rho)\epsilon}$, *where*

$$\epsilon = \max_{x \in M} R(0),$$

$$\alpha = \frac{2c[1 - 2(n-1)\rho] + n\rho - 1}{2(1-\rho)} \geq 0.$$

Remark 1.3. It should be pointed out that for $\rho = 0$, our (1) generalizes the corresponding results of Cao in [5].

Remark 1.4. It's obvious that (2) will hold whenever the Ricci curvature is nonnegative, but in general, the nonnegativity of the Ricci curvature is not preserved. Nevertheless, the nonnegativity of the Ricci curvature is preserved in dimension three.

Corollary 1.1. *In dimension three, let* $g(t)$ *and* $\lambda_0(t)$ *be the same as in Theorem 1.2. But here we assume the Ricci curvature is nonnegative at the initial time. If* $0 < \rho \leq \frac{1}{4}$ *and* $c \geq \frac{1-\rho}{2(1-4\rho)}$, *then the following quantity*

$$\left(T^{'} - t\right)^{-\alpha} \lambda_0(t), \tag{1.7}$$

is strictly monotone increasing under the Ricci-Bourguignon flow equation (1.1) *in* $[0, T^{'})$ *and* $T^{'} = \frac{1}{2(1-\rho)\epsilon}$, *where*

$$\epsilon = \max_{x \in M} R(0),$$

$$\alpha = \frac{2c(1 - 4\rho) + 3\rho - 1}{2(1-\rho)} \geq 0.$$

For $c = 0$, we derive the following monotonicity of eigenvalues on Laplacian under the Ricci-Bourguignon flow equation (1.1). That is, we obtain the

Theorem 1.3. *Let* $(M, g(t))_{t \in [0,T)}$ *be a compact maximal solution of the Ricci-Bourguignon flow* (1.1), $g(t) \not\equiv g(0)$ *and* $\rho \leq \frac{1}{2(n-1)}$. *Let* $\lambda_1(t)$ *be the first eigenvalue of the Laplace operator of the metric* $g(t)$. *If there is a non-negative constant* a *such that*

$$R_{ij} - \frac{1 + (2-n)\rho}{2} R g_{ij} \geq -a g_{ij}, \quad in \quad M \times [0, T), \tag{1.8}$$

and

$$R \geq \frac{2a}{1 - n\rho}, \quad in \quad M \times \{0\}, \tag{1.9}$$

then $\lambda_1(t)$ *is strictly monotone increasing and differentiable almost everywhere along the Ricci-Bourguignon flow in* $[0, T)$.

Remark 1.1. (1) In [21], Wu et al. proved a similar result about the p-Laplace operator along the Ricci flow, where they assumed $R \geq ap$ and $R \not\equiv ap$ in $M \times \{0\}$, which are a little stronger than assumptions of Theorem 1.3. The key difference is that we use Lemma 2.3.

(2) It should be pointed out that for $\rho = 0$, the above theorem is similar to Ma's main result for the first eigenvalue of the Laplace operator in [18]. Moreover, our assumptions are weaker than Ma's.

(3) If $a < 0$, there doesn't exist any scalar curvature which satisfies (1.8) and (1.9).

Cerbo and Fabrizio in [4] proved that when (M_3, g_0) is a closed manifold with positive Ricci curvature, the eigenvalues of the Laplacian along the Ricci flow diverges as $t \to T$. Motivated by the work of Cerbo and Fabrizio, we can obtain a similar result under the Ricci-Bourguignon flow. That is, we obtain the

Theorem 1.4. *Let λ be the eigenvalue of the Laplacian along the Ricci-Bourguignon, that is, $-\Delta f = \lambda f$. Let $(M_3, g(t))$ be a compact maximal solution of the Ricci-Bourguignon flow on a closed 3-manifold with positive Ricci curvature initially and $\rho < \frac{1}{4}$, then*

$$\lim_{t \to T} \lambda(t) = \infty$$

on a limited maximal time in terval $[0, T)$.

Remark 1.2. It should be pointed out that for $\rho = 0$, our above results on eigenvalues reduce to the Proposition 3.1 of Cerbo and Fabrizio in [4].

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will first give the definition for the first eigenvalue (the lowest eigenvalue) of the Laplace operator (Laplacian-type operator $-\Delta + cR$) under the Ricci-Bourguignon flow on a closed manifold. Then, we will show that the first eigenvalue (the lowest eigenvalue) of the Laplace operator (Laplacian-type operator $-\Delta + cR$) is a continuous function along the Ricci-Bourguignon flow. Finally, under the Ricci-Bourguignon flow, we show that if $R(g_0) \geq \beta$, for some $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, and $g(t) \not\equiv g(0)$, then $\max R(g_t) > \beta$ for every $t \in [0, T)$.

Throughout, M will be taken to be a closed manifold (i.e., compact without boundary). We use moving frames in all calculations and adopt the following index convention:

$$1 \leq i, j, k, \cdots \leq n$$

throughout this paper.

Now we recall the definition of the first eigenvalue of the Laplace operator on a closed manifold under the Ricci-Bourguignon flow. Let $(M_n, g(t))$ be a solution of the Ricci-Bourguignon flow on the time interval $[0, T)$. Consider the first nonzero eigenvalue of the Laplace operator at time t, where $0 \leq t < T$,

$$\lambda_1(t) = \inf \left\{ \int_M |\nabla f|^2 \, dv : f \in W^{1,2}, \int_M f^2 \, dv = 1 \quad \textit{and} \quad \int_M f \, dv = 0 \right\},$$

where dv denotes the volume form of the metric $g = g(t)$. Meanwhile the corresponding eigenfunction f satisfies the equation

$$-\Delta f(t) = \lambda_1(t) f(t),$$

where Δ is the Laplace operator with respect to $g(t)$, given by

$$\Delta_{g(t)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g(t)|}} \partial_i (\sqrt{|g(t)|} g(t)^{ij} \partial_j),$$

and $g(t)^{ij} = g(t)^{-1}_{ij}$ is the inverse of the matrix $g(t)$ and $|g| = det(g_{ij})$.

Note that it is not clear whether the first eigenvalue or the corresponding eigenfunction of the Laplace operator is C^1-differentiable under the Ricci-Bourguignon flow. When $\rho = 0$, where the Ricci-Bourguignon flow is the Ricci-Hamilton flow, many papers have pointed out that its differentiability under the Ricci-Hamilton flow follows from eigenvalue perturbation theory (e.g., see [11, 12, 20]). But $\rho \neq 0$, as far as we are aware, the differentiability of the first eigenvalue and eigenfuntion of the Laplace operator under the Ricci-Bourguignon flow has not been known until now. So we can not use Ma's trick to derive the monotonicity of the first eigenvalue of the Laplace operator. Although, we do not know the differentiability for $\lambda_1(t)$, following the techniques of Wu et al. [21], we will see that $\lambda_1(t)$ in fact is a continuous function along the Ricci-Bourguignon flow on [0, T).

Lemma 2.1.([21]) *If g_1 and g_2 are two metrics which satisfy*

$$(1+\varepsilon)^{-1} g_1 \leq g_2 \leq (1+\varepsilon) g_1,$$

then, we have

$$(1+\varepsilon)^{-(n+1)} \leq \frac{\lambda_1(g_1)}{\lambda_1(g_2)} \leq (1+\varepsilon)^{(n+1)}. \tag{2.1}$$

In particular, $\lambda_1((g(t)))$ is a continuous function in the t-variable.

Next we recall the definition of the lowest eigenvalue of $-\Delta + cR$. Let $\lambda_0(t)$ be the lowest eigenvalue of $-\Delta + cR$. Given a metric g on a closed manifold M^n, we define the functional λ_0 by

$$\lambda_0(t) = \inf \left\{ \mathscr{G}(g, f) : \int_M f^2 \, dv = 1, f > 0, \quad f \in W^{1,2} \right\}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mathscr{G}(g, f) = \int_M (f(-\Delta f) + cRf^2) \, dv$.

We also do not know the differentiability for $\lambda_0(t)$. But, following the techniques of [8], we will see that $\lambda_0(t)$ in fact is a continuous function along the Ricci-Bourguignon flow on $[0, T)$.

Lemma 2.2. ([8]) *If g_1 and g_2 are two metrics on M which satisfy*

$$(1+\varepsilon)^{-1} g_1 \leq g_2 \leq (1+\varepsilon) g_1 \quad \textit{and} \quad R(g_1) - \varepsilon \leq R(g_2) \leq R(g_1) + \varepsilon,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_0(g_2) - \lambda_0(g_1) \\ &\leq \left((1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{n}{2}+1} - (1+\varepsilon)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \right) (1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{n}{2}} (\lambda_0(g_1) - |c| \min R(g_1)) \\ &\quad + ((1+\delta)|c| \max |R(g_2) - R(g_1)| + 2\delta |c| \max |R(g_1)|) \, (1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{n}{2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where $\delta \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. In particular, λ_0 is a continuous function with respect to the C^2-topology.

At last, we present the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $(M, g_t)_{t \in [0,T)}$ be a compact maximal solution of the Ricci-Bourguignon flow* (1.1). *If $\rho \leq \frac{1}{2(n-1)}$, $R(0) \geq \beta$, for some $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, and $g(t) \not\equiv g(0)$, then* $\max R(t) > \beta$ *for every $t \in (0, T)$.*

Proof. From Proposition 1.3, we know that $R(t) \geq \beta$ for every $t \in [0,T)$. If $\max R(t_0) = \beta$ for some $t_0 \in (0,T)$, we have $R(t_0) \equiv \beta$. Since (1.5) and $\frac{\partial R}{\partial t} \leq 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{n}R^2(t_0) \leq |Ric|^2(t_0) \leq \rho R^2(t_0) \leq \frac{1}{2(n-1)}R^2(t_0).$$

Obviously, we have $R(t_0) = 0$ and $Ric(t_0) = 0$. Hence, $\max R(t_0) = \beta = 0$. Therefore, if $\beta \neq 0$, we have $\max R(t) > \beta$ for every $t \in [0,T)$.

When $\beta = 0$, let $I = \{t > 0 : \max R(t) > 0\}$. If $I = \emptyset$, then we have $R(t) \equiv 0$ and $Ric(t) \equiv 0$. Hence, we have $g(t) = g(0)$ which is in contradiction with $g(t) \not\equiv g(0)$. When $I \neq \emptyset$ and $t_1 \in I$, for any t_0 and $0 < t_0 < t_1$, if $\max R(t_0) = 0$, then $R(t_0) \equiv 0$ and $Ric(t_0) \equiv 0$. Hence, in $[t_0, T)$, $g(t_1) = g(t_0)$. So we have $Ric(t_1) = Ric(t_0) = 0$ which is in contradiction with $\max R(t_1) > 0$. Hence, $t_0 \in I$. Since $t_0 \in (0, t_1)$ is arbitrary, we have $(0, t_1] \subset I$. By the strong maximum principle, we have $(0,T) \subset I$. Therefore we finish the proof of Lemma 2.3.

3 Proof of Theorems 1.2

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.2 in Sect.1. In order to achieve this, we first prove the following two lemmas. Our proof uses some tricks in [5] and [6].

Let M be an n-dimensional closed Riemannian manifold, and $g(t)$ be a smooth solution of the Ricci-Bourguignon flow on the time interval $[0,T)$. Let $\lambda_0(t)$ be the lowest eigenvalue of the operator $-\Delta + cR$ corresponding to the normalized eigenfunction f, that is,

$$(-\Delta + cR)f = \lambda_0 f, \quad \int_M f^2 \, dv = 1.$$

For any $t_0 \in [0,T)$, there existed a smooth function $\varphi(t) > 0$ satisfying

$$\int_M \varphi^2(t) \, dv = 1, \tag{3.1}$$

and $\varphi(t_0) = f(t_0)$. Let

$$\mu(t) = \int_M (\varphi(t)(-\Delta\varphi(t)) + cR\varphi^2(t)) \, dv, \tag{3.2}$$

then $\mu(t)$ is a smooth function by definition. And at time t_0, we conclude that

$$\mu(t_0) = \lambda_0(t_0).$$

We first give the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $g(t)$, $t \in [0,T)$, be a solution of the Ricci-Bourguignon flow on an n-dimensional closed manifold M, and let $\lambda_0(t)$ be the lowest eigenvalue of $-\Delta + cR$ under the Ricci-Bourguignon flow. Assume that $f(t_0)$ is the corresponding eigenfunction of $\lambda_0(t)$ at time $t_0 \in [0,T)$. Let $\mu(t)$ be a smooth function defined by* (3.2)*. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\mu(t) \mid_{t=t_0} =& (A-2\rho)c\int_M R^2 f^2 \, dv + (A-2\rho)\int_M R|\nabla f|^2 \, dv \\ &- A\lambda_0 \int_M Rf^2 \, dv + 2\int_M Ric(\nabla f, \nabla f) dv \\ &+ 2c\int_M |Ric|^2 f^2 dv, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $A = -1 + n\rho + 2c[1 - 2(n-1)\rho]$.

Proof. The proof is by straightforward computation. Notice that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\Delta\phi) = 2R_{ij}\phi_{ij} + \Delta(\phi_t) - 2\rho R\Delta\phi - (2-n)\rho R_{,k}\phi_k. \tag{3.4}$$

Using Proposition 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\mu(t)\mid_{t=t_0} &= \int_M \partial_t(-\Delta\varphi + cR\varphi)\varphi\, dv + \int_M (-\Delta\varphi + cR\varphi)\partial_t(\varphi\, dv) \\
&= \int_M [\partial_t(-\Delta\varphi) + c\varphi\partial_t R + cR\partial_t\varphi]\varphi\, dv + \int_M (-\Delta\varphi + cR\varphi)\partial_t(\varphi\, dv) \\
&= \int_M [-2R_{ij}\varphi_{ij} - \Delta(\varphi_t) + 2\rho R\Delta\varphi + (2-n)\rho R_{,k}\varphi_k]\varphi\, dv \\
&\quad + \int_M [c\varphi\partial_t R + cR\partial_t\varphi]\varphi\, dv + \int_M (-\Delta\varphi + cR\varphi)\partial_t(\varphi\, dv) \\
&= \int_M [-2R_{ij}\varphi_{ij}\varphi + 2\rho R\varphi\Delta\varphi + (2-n)\rho R_{,k}\varphi_k\varphi]\, dv \\
&\quad + c\int_M \{[1-2(n-1)\rho]\Delta R + 2|Ric|^2 - 2\rho R^2\}\varphi^2\, dv \\
&\quad + \int_M (-\Delta\varphi + cR\varphi)[\partial_t(\varphi)dv + \partial_t(\varphi\, dv)].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

From $R_{,i} = 2R_{ij,j}$ and the Stokes formula, we have

$$\int_M \varphi^2\Delta R\, dv = \int_M 2R(|\nabla\varphi|^2 + \varphi\Delta\varphi)\, dv, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\int_M R_{,k}\phi_k\phi\, dv = \int_M 2R(|\nabla\phi|^2 + \phi\Delta\phi)\, dv, \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M -R_{ij}\phi_{ij}\phi\, dv &= \int_M (R_{ij}\phi)_j\phi_i\, dv \\
&= \int_M R_{ij,j}\phi\phi_i\, dv + \int_M R_{ij}\phi_j\phi_i\, dv \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\int_M R_{,i}\phi\phi_i\, dv + \int_M R_{ij}\phi_j\phi_i\, dv \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\int_M R(\phi\phi_i)_i\, dv + \int R_{ij}\phi_j\phi_i\, dv \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\int_M R\Delta\phi\phi\, dv - \frac{1}{2}\int_M R|\nabla\phi|^2\, dv + \int_M R_{ij}\phi_j\phi_i\, dv.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

On the other hand, at time t_0, φ is the eigenfunction of $\lambda_0(t_0)$, i.e., $(-\Delta + cR)\varphi = \lambda_0\varphi$, we have

$$\int_M (-\Delta\varphi + cR\varphi)[\partial_t(\varphi)dv + \partial_t(\varphi\, dv)] = \lambda_0\int_M \varphi[\partial_t(\varphi)dv + \partial_t(\varphi\, dv)] = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

The last equality holds because of (3.1). Inserting (3.6), (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9) into (3.5), at $t = t_0$, yields

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\mu(t)\mid_{t=t_0}=&(-1+n\rho+2c[1-2(n-1)\rho])\int_M R\varphi\Delta\varphi\,dv\\&+(-1+(n-2)\rho+2c[1-2(n-1)\rho])\int_M R|\nabla\varphi|^2\,dv\\&+2\int_M R_{ij}\varphi_i\varphi_j dv+2c\int_M|Ric|^2\varphi^2 dv-2c\rho\int_M R\varphi^2\,dv.\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

Inserting $\Delta\varphi = cR\varphi - \lambda_0\varphi$ into (3.10), at $t = t_0$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\mu(t)\mid_{t=t_0}=&(A-2\rho)c\int_M R^2\varphi^2\,dv+(A-2\rho)\int_M R|\nabla\varphi|^2\,dv\\&-A\mu\int_M R\varphi^2\,dv+2\int_M R_{ij}\varphi_i\varphi_j dv\\&+2c\int_M|Ric|^2\varphi^2 dv.\end{aligned}\tag{3.11}$$

Therefore we finish the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Then we give the second Lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $g(t)$, $t \in [0, T)$, be a solution of the Ricci-Bourguignon flow on an n-dimensional closed manifold M, and let $\lambda_0(t)$ be the lowest eigenvalue of $-\Delta + cR$ under the Ricci-Bourguignon flow. Assume that $f(t_0)$ is the corresponding eigenfunction of $\lambda_0(t)$ at time $t_0 \in [0, T)$. Let $\mu(t)$ be a smooth function defined by* (3.2)*. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\mu(t)\mid_{t=t_0}=&\frac{(1-\rho(n-1))^2}{2-4\rho(n-1)}\int_M|R_{ij}-2\frac{1-2\rho(n-1)}{1-\rho(n-1)}(\log f)_{ij}|^2f^2\,dv\\&+\left(2c-\frac{(1-\rho(n-1))^2}{2-4\rho(n-1)}\right)\int_M|Ric|^2f^2\,dv-\rho\lambda_0\int_M Rf^2\,dv\\&-\rho c\int_M R^2f^2dv-\rho\int_M R|\nabla f|^2dv.\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

Proof. The proof is by straightforward computation.

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_M|R_{ij}-2k(\log f)_{ij}|^2f^2\,dv\\=&\int_M|Ric|^2f^2\,dv+4k^2\int_M|\nabla^2(\log f)|^2f^2\,dv-4k\int_M R_{ij}(\log f)_{ij}f^2\,dv,\end{aligned}\tag{3.13}$$

where $k = \frac{1-2\rho(n-1)}{1-\rho(n-1)}$. From [6], we can get

$$\begin{aligned}&4k^2\int_M|\nabla^2(\log f)|^2f^2\,dv\\=&2k^2c\int_M R\Delta f^2\,dv-4k^2\int_M R_{ij}f_if_j\,dv\\=&4k^2c\int_M R(f\Delta f+|\nabla f|^2)\,dv-4k^2\int_M R_{ij}f_if_j\,dv\end{aligned}\tag{3.14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &-4k\int_M R_{ij}(\log f)_{ij}f^2\,dv \\ =&-2k\int_M R(f\Delta f+|\nabla f|^2)\,dv+8k\int_M R_{ij}f_if_j\,dv. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Combining (3.14) and (3.15), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_M |R_{ij}-2k(\log f)_{ij}|^2f^2\,dv \\ =&\int_M |Ric|^2f^2\,dv+(8k-4k^2)\int_M R_{ij}f_if_j\,dv \\ &+2k(2kc-1)\left(c\int_M R^2f^2dv-\lambda_0\int_M Rf^2dv\right) \\ &+2k(2kc-1)\int_M R|\nabla f|^2dv. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Multipling $\frac{1}{2k(2-k)}$ on the both sides of (3.16) , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2k(2-k)}\int_M |R_{ij}-2k(\log f)_{ij}|^2f^2\,dv \\ =&\frac{1}{2k(2-k)}\int_M |Ric|^2f^2\,dv+2\int_M R_{ij}f_if_j\,dv \\ &+\frac{2kc-1}{2-k}\left(c\int_M R^2f^2dv-\lambda_0\int_M Rf^2dv\right) \\ &+\frac{2kc-1}{2-k}\int_M R|\nabla f|^2dv. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Let (3.3) subtract (3.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\mu(t)\,|_{t=t_0}=&\frac{1}{2k(2-k)}\int_M |R_{ij}-2k(\log f)_{ij}|^2f^2\,dv \\ &+\left(2c-\frac{1}{2k(2-k)}\right)\int_M |Ric|^2f^2\,dv \\ &+\left(-A+\frac{2kc-1}{2-k}\right)\lambda_0\int_M Rf^2\,dv \\ &+\left(A-2\rho-\frac{2kc-1}{2-k}\right)c\int_M R^2f^2dv \\ &+\left(A-2\rho-\frac{2kc-1}{2-k}\right)\int_M R|\nabla f|^2dv. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Inserting $A=-1+n\rho+2c[1-2(n-1)\rho]$ and $k=\frac{1-2\rho(n-1)}{1-\rho(n-1)}$ into (3.18), we obtain (3.3). Therefore we finish the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Proof of Theorems 1.2. We first proof (1). If $\rho\le 0$, $c\ge\frac{[1-\rho(n-1)]^2}{4-8\rho(n-1)}$ and $R\ge 0$ in $M\times\{0\}$, from Proposition 1.3 and Lemma 2.3, we know that $\max R(g_t)>0$ for every $t\in(0,T)$ and

$$\frac{(1-\rho(n-1))^2}{2-4\rho(n-1)}>0.$$

By (3.12), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mu(t) \mid_{t=t_0} \geq 0. \tag{3.19}$$

Since the eigenfunction of the lowest eigenvalue is not equal to 0 almost everywhere along the Ricci-Bourguignon flow and $\mu(t)$ is a smooth function with respect to t-variable, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mu(t) > 0, \tag{3.20}$$

in $(t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta)$, where $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small. So we get

$$\mu(t_0) > \mu(t_1) \tag{3.21}$$

for any $t_1 \in (t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta)$ and $t_1 < t_0$.

Notice that

$$\mu(t_0) = \lambda_0(t_0) \qquad and \qquad \mu(t_0) \geq \lambda_0(t_1).$$

This implies $\lambda_0(t_0) > \lambda_0(t_1)$ for any $t_0 > t_1$. Since $t_0 \in [0, T)$ is arbitrary, $\lambda_0(t)$ is strictly monotone increasing in $[0, T)$. Therefore we finish the proof of (1).

Next we proof (2). By Proposition 1.5, we know that the nonnegativity of the curvature operator is preserved by the Ricci-Bourguignon flow. This implies that the Ricci curvature is also nonnegative, and we have $|Ric|^2 \leq R^2$. The evolution equation of scalar curvature satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}R =& [1 - 2(n-1)\rho]\Delta R + 2|Ric|^2 - 2\rho R^2 \\ &\leq [1 - 2(n-1)\rho]\Delta R + 2(1-\rho)R^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Let $\sigma(t)$ be the solution of the following ODE with initial value

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \sigma(t)}{\partial t} = 2(1-\rho)\sigma^2, \\ \sigma(0) = \max_{x \in M} R(0). \end{cases} \tag{3.23}$$

By the maximum principle, let $\epsilon = \max_{x \in M} R(0)$, we can get

$$R(t) \leq \sigma(t) = \left(-2(1-\rho)t + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{-1}$$

on $[0, T'')$, where $T'' = \min\{T', T\}$ and $T' = \frac{1}{2(1-\rho)\epsilon}$. Because of Lemma 2.6, we have $T' \leq T$. Hence $R(t) \leq \sigma(t)$ on $[0, T')$. If $0 < \rho \leq \frac{1}{2(n-1)}$ and $c \geq \frac{1-(n-2)\rho}{2[1-2(n-1)\rho]}$, we have $(A - 2\rho)c \geq 0$ and $A \geq 0$. Since the eigenfunction of the first eigenvalue is not equal to 0 almost everywhere along the Ricci-Bourguignon flow, from Lemma 2.3 and (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\mu \mid_{t=t_0} \geq& -A\mu \int_M Rf^2 \, d\upsilon \\ >& -A\mu\sigma, \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

which implies

$$(\frac{d}{dt}\mu - A\mu\sigma) \mid_{t=t_0} > 0.$$

Using the similar arguments as (1), we know that

$$\left(T' - t\right)^{-\alpha} \lambda_0(t)$$

is strictly monotone increasing under the Ricci-Bourguignon flow equation (1.1) on $[0, T^{'})$ and $T^{'} = \frac{1}{2(1-\rho)\epsilon}$, where

$$\epsilon = \max_{x\in M} R(0),$$

$$\alpha = \frac{2c[1-2(n-1)\rho]+n\rho-1}{2(1-\rho)} \geq 0,$$

which shows (2) holds. Therefore we finish the proof of Theorem 1.2.

4 Proof of Theorems 1.3

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.3 in Sect.1. In order to achieve this, we first prove the following lemma. Our proof involves choosing a proper smooth function, which seems to be a delicate trick.

Let M be an n-dimensional closed Riemannian manifold, and $g(t)$ be a smooth solution of the Ricci-Bourguignon flow on the time interval $[0, T)$. Let $\lambda_1(t)$ be the first eigenvalue of the Laplace operator under the Ricci-Bourguignon flow and $f(t_0)$ be the corresponding eigenfunction of $\lambda_1(t)$ at time $t_0 \in [0, T)$, i.e.,

$$-\Delta_{g(t_0)} f(t_0) = \lambda_1(t_0) f(t_0). \tag{4.1}$$

For any $t_0 \in [0, T)$, Wu in [21] pointed out that there existed a smooth function

$$\phi(t) = \frac{\psi(t)}{(\int_M \psi(t)^2\, dv)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad where \qquad \psi(t) = f(t_0)\left(\frac{det(g_{ij}(t_0))}{det(g_{ij}(t))}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

satisfying

$$\int_M \phi(t)^2\, dv = 1 \qquad and \qquad \int_M \phi(t)\, dv = 0, \tag{4.2}$$

and $\varphi(t_0) = f(t_0)$. Now we define a general smooth function as follows:

$$\mu(t) = \int_M \phi(t)(-\Delta\phi(t))\, dv. \tag{4.3}$$

In general, $\mu(t)$ is not equal to $\lambda_1(t)$. But at time t_0, we conclude that

$$\mu(t_0) = \lambda_1(t_0).$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $g(t)$, $t \in [0, T)$, be a solution of the Ricci-Bourguignon flow on an n-dimensional closed manifold M, and let $\lambda_1(t)$ be the first eigenvalue of the Laplace operator under the Ricci-Bourguignon flow. Assume that $f(t_0)$ is the corresponding eigenfunction of $\lambda_1(t)$ at time $t_0 \in [0, T)$, i.e.,*

$$-\Delta_{g(t_0)} f(t_0) = \lambda_1(t_0) f(t_0). \tag{4.4}$$

Let $\mu(t)$ be a smooth function defined by (4.3). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\mu(t)\mid_{t=t_0} = \int_M \{&2R_{ij}f_i f_j + (1-n\rho)\lambda_1 R f^2 \\ &- [(2-n)\rho+1]R|\nabla f|^2\}\, dv.\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. The proof is by direct computations.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\mu(t)\mid_{t=t_0}=&\int_M \partial_t(-\phi\Delta\phi)\,d\upsilon+\int_M(-\phi\Delta\phi)\partial_t(\,d\upsilon)\\ =&\int_M[-2R_{ij}\phi_{ij}-\Delta(\partial_t\phi)+2\rho R\Delta\phi+(2-n)\rho R_{,k}\phi_k]\phi\,d\upsilon\\ &+\int_M(-\Delta\phi)\partial_t\phi\,d\upsilon+\int_M(-\Delta\phi)\phi(n\rho-1)R\,d\upsilon\\ =&\int_M -2R_{ij}\phi_{ij}\phi\,d\upsilon+\int_M -2(\Delta\phi)\partial_t\phi\,d\upsilon\\ &+(2-n)\rho\int_M R_{,k}\phi_k\phi\,d\upsilon+[1+(2-n)\rho]\int_M R(\Delta\phi)\phi\,d\upsilon.\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$

From (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\mu(t)\mid_{t=t_0}=&-\int_M R\Delta\phi\phi\,d\upsilon-\int_M R|\nabla\phi|^2\,d\upsilon+2\int_M R_{ij}\phi_j\phi_i\,d\upsilon\\ &+\int_M -2(\Delta\phi)\partial_t\phi\,d\upsilon-(2-n)\rho\int_M R\Delta\phi\phi\,d\upsilon\\ &-(2-n)\rho\int_M R|\nabla\phi|^2\,d\upsilon+[1+(2-n)\rho]\int_M R(\Delta\phi)\phi\,d\upsilon\\ =&2\int_M R_{ij}\phi_j\phi_i\,d\upsilon+2\mu\int_M\phi\partial_t\phi\,d\upsilon\\ &-[1+(2-n)\rho]\int_M R|\nabla\phi|^2\,d\upsilon.\end{aligned}\tag{4.7}$$

Under the Ricci-Bourguignon flow, from the constraint condition (4.2), we can get

$$2\int_M\phi\partial_t\phi d\upsilon=-(n\rho-1)\int_M\phi^2Rd\upsilon.\tag{4.8}$$

Hence, at time t_0, the desired proposition follows from substituting (4.8) into the (4.7). Therefore we finish the proof of Lemma 3.1. □

Proof of Theorems 1.3. We assume that for any time $t_0\in[0,T)$, if $f(t_0)$ is the corresponding eigenfunction of the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(t_0)$, then we have $\lambda_1(t_0)=\mu(t_0)$.

By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\mu(t)\mid_{t=t_0}=&\int_M\{(1-n\rho)\lambda_1Rf^2+2R_{ij}f_if_j\\&-[(2-n)\rho+1]R|\nabla f|^2\}\,dv\\=&\int_M\{2R_{ij}-[(2-n)\rho+1]Rg_{ij}\}f_if_j\,dv\\&+\int_M(1-n\rho)\lambda_1Rf^2\,dv\\\geq&\int_M(1-n\rho)\lambda_1Rf^2\,dv-2a\int_M|\nabla f|^2\,dv\\=&\int_M(1-n\rho)\lambda_1Rf^2\,dv-2a\lambda_1\\=&\lambda_1\int_Mf^2\{(1-n\rho)R-2a\}\,dv,\end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

where we used the first assumption of Theorem 1.2.

Since the eigenfunction of the first eigenvalue is not equal to 0 almost everywhere along the Ricci-Bourguignon flow, by Lemma 2.3 and (4.9), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mu(t)\mid_{t=t_0}>0.\tag{4.10}$$

Using the similar arguments as the proof of Theorem 1.2, we have $\lambda_1(t)$ is strictly monotone increasing in $[0,T)$. Therefore we finish the proof of Theorem 1.2.

As for the differentiability for $\lambda_1(t)$, since $\lambda_1(t)$ is increasing on the time interval $[0,T)$ under curvature conditions of the theorem, by the classical Lebesgue's theorem (for example, see Chap. 4 in [17]), it is easy to see that $\lambda_1(t)$ is differentiable almost everywhere on $[0,T)$.

Remark 4.1. (1) In the course of proving Theorem 1.3, we do not use any differentiability of the first eigenvalue or the corresponding eigenfunction of the Laplace operator under the Ricci-Bourguignon flow.

(2) Using this method, we cannot get any monotonicity for higher order eigenvalues of the Laplace operator under the Ricci-Bourguignon flow.

5 Proof of Theorems 1.4

When (M_3,g_0) is a closed three manifold with positive Ricci curvature, the eigenvalues of the Laplacian along the Ricci-Bourguignon flow diverges as $t\to T$. Now we finish the proof of Theorem 1.3:

Proof of Theorems 1.4. On a closed manifold M^n, for any smooth functions f, using the celebrated Reilly formula

$$\int_M|\nabla^2f|^2\,dv+\int_MRic(\nabla f,\nabla f)\,dv=\int_M(\Delta f)^2\,dv$$

and Cauchy inequality

$$|\nabla^2f|^2\geq\frac{1}{n}(\Delta f)^2,$$

we have the inequality

$$\int_M Ric(\nabla f, \nabla f)\, dv \leq \frac{n-1}{n} \int_M (\Delta f)^2\, dv.$$

When $\rho < \frac{1}{4}$, for any compact maximal solution of the Ricci-Bourguignon flow on a closed three manifold with positive Ricci curvature there exists $\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{3}$ such that the condition

$$Ric \geq \varepsilon R g$$

is preserved along the flow. For any time t_0, if f is the eigenfunction of the eigenvalue λ, then

$$\frac{2}{3}\lambda^2(t) \geq \int_M Ric(\nabla f, \nabla f)\, dv \geq \varepsilon \int_M R|\nabla f|^2\, dv \geq \varepsilon R_{min}(t)\lambda(t).$$

Since $t_0 \in [0, T)$ is arbitrary, then

$$\lambda(t) \geq \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon R_{min}.$$

The thesis follows since

$$\lim_{t \to T} R_{min}(t) = \infty,$$

see [4]. Therefore we can finish the proof of Theorem 1.4.

References

[1] Aubin T. Metriques riemanniennes et courbure. J. Diff. Geom. 4, 385-519 (1970)

[2] Bourguignon J. P. Ricci curvature and Einsein metric, Global differential geometry and global analysis (Berlin, 1079), Lectures notes in Math., vol. 838, Springer, Berlin, 42-63 (1981)

[3] Brendle S. Convergence of the Yamabe flow for arbitrary initial energy. J. Diff. Geom. 69, 217-278 (2005)

[4] Cerbo D., Fabrizio L. Eigenvalues of the Laplacian under the Ricci flow. Rend. Mat. Appl. 27, 183-195 (2007)

[5] Cao X. D. Eigenvalues of $-4\Delta + R$ on manifolds with nonnegative curvature operator. Math. Ann. 337, 435-441 (2007)

[6] Cao X. D. First eigenvalues geometric operators under the Ricci flow. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136, 4075-4078 (2008)

[7] Catino G., Cremaschi L., Djadli Z., Mantegazza C., Mazzieri L. The Ricci-Bourguignon flow. arXiv:1507.00324v2

[8] Chow, B., Chu, S.C., Glickenstein, D., Guentheretc, C., Isenberg, J., Ivey, T., Knopf, D., Lu, P., Luo, F., Ni, L.: The Ricci Flow: Techniques and Applications. Part II: Analytic Aspects. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 144. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2008)

[9] Fischer, Arthur E. An introduction to conformal Ricci flow. Classical Quantum Gravity, 21, 171-218 (2004)

[10] Guo H. X., Philipowski R, Thalmaier A. Entropy and lowest eigenvalue on evolving manifolds. Pacific J. Math. 264, 61-82 (2013)

[11] Kato T. Perturbation Theory for Linear Operator, 2nd edtion, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo, 1984

[12] Kleiner B., Lott J. Note on Perelman's papers. Geometry and Topology, 12, 2587-2855 (2008)

[13] Li J. F. Eigenvalues and energy functionals with monotonicity formulae under Ricci flow. Math. Ann. 338, 927-946 (2007)

[14] Ling J. A comparison theorem and a sharp bound via the Ricci flow. arXiv:0710.25748

[15] Ling J. A class of monotonic quantities along the Ricci flow. arXiv:0710.4291

[16] Lu P., Qing J., Zheng Y. A note on conformal Ricci flow. Pacific J. Math. 268, 413-434 (2014)

[17] Mukherjea, A., Pothoven, K. Real and Functional Analysis, 2nd edn. Plenum Press, New York (1984)

[18] Ma L. Eigenvalue monotonicity for the Ricci-Hamilton flow. Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 29, 287-292 (2006)

[19] Perelman G. The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications. ArXiv:0211159

[20] Reed M., Simon B. Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. IV. Analysis of Operators, Academic Press Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers, New York, 1978

[21] Wu J. Y., Wang E.M., Zheng Y. First eigenvalue of the p-Laplace operator along the Ricci flow. Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 38, 27-55 (2010)

[22] Ye R. Global existence and convergence of Yamabe flow. J. Diff. Geom. 39, 35-50 (1994)

[23] Zhao L. The first eigenvalue of the p-Laplace operator under powers of the mth mean curvature flow. Results Math. 63, 937-948 (2013)

[24] Zhao L. The first eigenvalue of the p-Laplace operator under powers of mean curvature flow. Math. Method Appl. Sci. 37, 744-751 (2014)

FANQI ZENG
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS TONGJI UNIVERSITY
SHANGHAI 200092 P.R. CHINA
E-mail: fanzeng10@126.com

QUN HE
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS TONGJI UNIVERSITY

SHANGHAI 200092 P.R. CHINA
E-mail: hequn@tongji.edu.cn

BIN CHEN
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS TONGJI UNIVERSITY
SHANGHAI 200092 P.R. CHINA
E-mail: Chenbin@tongji.edu.cn